Thursday 26 November 2026

(Appendix 12)

Proposed Consultation Response

11.5 We refer to our previous comments around the Station Buildings. We realise now that this refers to the shopping parade rather than the actual buildings relating to th railway station.

12.11 We note the increase in rail usage within Water Orton. We think that there needs to be greater emphasis on increasing the prevalence and usage of all form of public and community transportation.

LP 24 details that “the continuance of services and facilities in Water Orton Station will be supported”. As before, we would like to see a more ambitious statement around the improvement of services and facilities. We acknowledge the work that has been done, and continues to be done by NWBC in this area.

13.29 We note the removal of the reference to Water Orton in the matters of parking and capacity. We are grateful for the clarity that this provides but would wish to detail that the present methodology for the provision of parking, and enforcement is not it for purpose. Whilst we note that this is in part a matter for the County Council, the present policy and implementation with regards to Water Orton is not meeting the needs of residents.

14.66 We would like to see that this paragraph makes it clear that the infrastructure which surrounds the village does not serve the village.

14.67 – 14.68 there is a numbering issue here and these paragraphs are absent.

14.70 – The potential impact of HS2 is acknowledged, however we would like to see that there is some note that the construction phase, which while temporary does not have a set completion date, is impactful and any development needs to recognise it will have a cumulative effect both in terms of delivery and eventual impact on infrastructure.

H11 - It is noted that the range of housing including those for elderly and young people is not met by the plan approved by NWBC’s planning and development board. The funds generated to support affordable housing should be allocated towards those within the village remaining within their community in the event of any future development being proposed.

We note and welcome the removal of the contingent site previous listed for Vicarage Lane. We would like to note that for any alternative sites which had been mentioned throughout this process that many of the concerns or comments would also apply.